



Conduct of Engineering Formal Clarification or Interpretation Request

Assigned by Responder: Clarification Interpretation Tracking number CIR- 23-002

Clarify	To make the CoE document or its references understandable and free from confusion
Interpret	To formally provide an acceptable method of compliance with the document or references

1.0 Request

Brief Title: Initial Service Leak Test Methods for Tie-In to Existing (Legacy) B31.3 and B31.9 Piping			
Affected Document Title, Number, and Rev. No. LANL Engineering Standards Manual (ESM), STD-342-100 Chapter 17, Pressure Safety, Section EXIST Rev. 2 05/25/17			
Section/Article/Para and Existing Wording VAR-10163, In Process Examination of Tie-in to Existing Contaminated Piping VAR-10198, In-process Examination of Tie-in to Existing Contaminated Wet Vac Piping			
Inquiry (describe ambiguity or issue) May VAR-10163 and VAR-10198 be used to examine the final joint of a vacuum system that is—or is not—associated with radiological contamination?			
Requestor (LANL employee) Shawn M. Wright	Z Number 357332	Organization PIE-4	Date 02/22/2023

2.0 Response by Safety (or Security) Management Program Owner Representative (SMPOR/POC)

<p>This Interpretation is applicable to any construction that modifies an existing pressure system.</p> <p>In short, yes. VAR-10163 and VAR-10198 may be used to examine the final joint of a vacuum system that is—or is not—associated with radiological contamination.</p> <p>Caveats/Basis: It is expected that the requirements of ESM Chapter 17, <i>Pressure Safety</i> (or the Tailored Standards Manual) will be met even if project specifications do not specifically cite the requirement. As such, all construction that alters existing pressure systems shall comply with the requirements under <i>EXIST</i>, paragraph 3.B. <i>EXIST</i> article 3.0 was created to specifically address the joint(s) connecting new construction or a new modification to existing pressures systems. ASME B31.3 and ASME B31.9 piping codes only apply to new construction; LANL uses selected aspects of them for modifying an existing system.</p>		
Name Ari (Ben) Swartz	Z Number 235211	Signature/Date

3.0 SMPO Approval (Standards Manual and code and regulation matters only, otherwise N/A)

<p>Comments</p> <p>On February 9, 2023, Michael Ladach, the POC for ESM Chapter 6, Mechanical and Master Specification Section 22 0813 on testing also agreed with this bag-test usage with the statement “I would say yes, we can use</p>

the bag joint test for non-contaminated joints also. There is less risk with the process since there is no contamination, thus no need for the portion of the procedure that mentions sampling and/or monitoring.”

Name
Dan Tepley

Z Number
185676

Signature/Date

Distribution (may be electronic): S. M. Wright, M. Stark, S. Foxworth, J. Friar, T. McDonald, A. Swartz, D. Tepley, T. Oruch